UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,794	10/18/2005	Yoshiharu Dewa	279606US6XPCT	6105
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			HUSSAIN, IMAD	
ALEAANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2151	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/29/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/553,794	DEWA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	IMAD HUSSAIN	2151		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESTRICTION OF THE MAILING DESTRUCTION OF THE MAILING	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25.	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 2,3,5-7,9 and 11 is/are pending in th 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2,3,5-7,9,11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/553,794 Page 2

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 25 June 2008 has been entered.

- 2. Applicant's amendment filed 16 April 2008 has been received and made of record.
- 3. Claims 4, 8 and 10 have been cancelled. Claims 2, 3, 5-7, 9 and 11 have been amended and are pending in Application 10/553794.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 3, 5-7, 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agresta et al. (US PGPub 2002/0091848 A1, hereafter *Agresta*) in view of Hegde et al. (US PGPub 2002/0007418 A1, hereinafter *Hegde*) in further view of Biddle et al. (US PGPub 2002/0107809 A1, hereinafter *Biddle*).

Application/Control Number: 10/553,794

Art Unit: 2157

Regarding claims 2, 3, 6, 7 and 9 Agresta teaches a content processing apparatus [Agresta: Fig 2 (12)] adapted to receive deliveries of content from a content delivery apparatus [Agresta: Fig 2 (20)] by way of a network [Agresta: Fig 2 (22)], the apparatus comprising:

Page 3

-a display configured to present a list of a plurality of sets of content [Agresta: "a web-site", paragraph 0052, which is displayed on "the screens on the device", paragraph 0049] including at least a set of content to be delivered by streaming and a set of content to be delivered by downloaded files [Agresta: "The user will have the option of 'streaming' the selection to the terminal for instant access and simultaneous playing, or downloading the selection onto the memory of the terminal", paragraph 25];

-an interface configured to accept input specifying information [Agresta: "means for permitting the subscriber to input commands", Claim 1 and "human interface", paragraph 0050] specifying the set of content to be delivered from the sets of content on the list [Agresta: "permitting the subscriber to select and purchase desired entertainment content from the menu", claim 10];

-a receiver [Agresta: "Terminal... uses a high-speed wireless Internet Connection to... stream the selection(s) [or] download the full selection(s)", paragraph 0055 which may utilize "a wireless card such as a PCI card or PCMCIA card", paragraph 0056] configured to receive from the content delivery apparatus delivery information specifying streaming or downloaded file as a method of content delivery, said delivery information

corresponding to a license of the specified set of content [Agresta: "the central database", paragraph 22, and user selection, claim 10]; and

-an acquisition unit [Agresta: "Terminal... uses a high-speed wireless Internet Connection to... stream the selection(s) [or] download the full selection(s)", paragraph 0055 which may utilize "a wireless card such as a PCI card or PCMCIA card", paragraph 0056] configured to automatically acquire the set of content delivered from the content delivery apparatus by way of the network [Agresta: "permitting the subscriber to access and download to the terminal any purchased entertainment content on the subscriber's content list" per the user selection, claim 10] without selecting streaming or downloaded file as the method of content delivery according to the delivery information.

Agresta does not teach automatically acquiring by the contents processing apparatus, without selecting streaming or downloaded file as method of content delivery.

However, Hegde discloses a method of automatically selecting between streaming or downloading, in full, multimedia content based on the attributes of the requesting device and the size of the content [Hegde: Paragraph 0084; also Claims 1, 3 and 4].

Agresta and Hegde are analogous art in the same field of endeavor as both concern delivering multimedia content from a server to a requesting terminal over a network. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the protocol selection scheme of Hegde for

automatic attribute-based delivery selection in the system of Agresta. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the system of Agresta with the protocol selection scheme of Hegde because in doing so, the system would allow for users having low-bandwidth connections to obtain better multimedia experiences optimized for their devices [Hegde: Paragraph 0006 and 0009].

The combination of Agresta and Hegde does not explicitly disclose that the delivery information is contained in *a startup file*.

However, Biddle discloses that the delivery information is contained in a startup file [Biddle: Paragraph 0098, "license file"].

Agresta-Hegde and Biddle are analogous art in the same field of endeavor as both concern delivering licensed content over a network. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the license file scheme of Biddle for the storage of options and delivery information in the system of Agresta-Hegde. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the system of Agresta-Hegde with the license file scheme of Biddle because in doing so, the system would allow for storing all relevant information in a single file.

Regarding claims 5 and 11, Agresta-Hegde-Biddle teaches that the startup file ["license file"] further includes information for accessing the sets of contents [Agresta: "GUID", paragraph 42 and Biddle: Paragraph 0098].

Application/Control Number: 10/553,794 Page 6

Art Unit: 2157

Conclusion

6. **Examiner's Note:** Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the text of the passage taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

In the case of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure relied on for proper interpretation and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to IMAD HUSSAIN whose telephone number is (571) 270-3628. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 0800 to 1700.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,794 Page 7

Art Unit: 2157

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/IH/ Imad Hussain Examiner, AU 2151

/Salad Abdullahi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2157